

STUDIES ON SOME PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF EGYPTIAN AND IRAQIAN HONEY.

Fathy, H. M.; S. S. Awadalla; L. A. El-Batran and M. H. A. Al-Mashhadani

Plant protection Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University.



ABSTRACT

Eighteen honey samples were collected from Egyptian and Iraqi to evaluate some physical properties: Moisture(%), Total soluble solids(TSS), Electrical conductivity(EC), Viscosity and Specific gravity. The final results indicated that it was not found significant difference between Egyptian and Iraqi honeys in relation to moisture percentages. It not found significant differences between Egyptian and Iraqi honeys in relation to soluble solids (TSS%). The obtained results cleared that low significant differences between Egyptian and Iraqi honeys in relation to Electrical conductivity (EC). The results indicated that low significant differences between Egyptian and Iraqi honeys in relation to viscosity. In relation to specific gravity in both Egyptian and Iraqi honey samples, it not found significant differences between Egyptian honey (clover, citrus and cotton) and also between Iraqi honeys in all samples.

INTRODUCTION

Honey can be said the miracle food, because of its nutritional and health benefits bestowed to human beings since centuries. Honey is the natural sweet substance produced by certain species of bees, from the nectar of plant, from secretion of living part of plant , which honey bee collected transformed and combined with specific substances of their own store and leave in honey comb to ripen and nature. (Codex Alimentation 1998). The use of honey as a therapeutic compound has been explored by the medical profession in more recent times. Honey comes in the first order of these products, since it has many benefits in foods and medicine (Serrano et al, 2007). Honey is the most important primary product of beekeeping both from quantitative and an economic point of view (Crane 1990). Honey has several important properties in addition to its composition and colour. It is composed mainly of carbohydrates, lesser amounts of water and a wide range of minor components (white, 1980 and El-Metwally, 2015). The aim of this study evaluate some physical and chemical properties of Egyptian and Iraqi honeys according to Egyptian honey standard (2005) and Iraqi honey standard(1984).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was carried out in Economic Entomology Department Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University. Some physical properties of Egyptian and Iraqian honeys were evaluated in Chemical analysis . lap of honey bee products, Bee keeping Research Department , plant Protection Research Institute, Giza, Eighteen honey samples were collected from Egypt and Iraq.

All honey samples obtained from beekeepers during years 2014, were labeled by different serial numbers and accompanied with its type date of harvesting and regions.

Honey test methods:

According to Codex Alimentarius commissions (2001), Egyptian stand. NO: 355, (2005), Iraqian stand.(1984)., and official methods of analysis of Associaticen of official chemists (A.O.A.C.1980) edited by sinday Williams, honey test methods were carried out.

Determination of physical properties of Egyptian and Iraqian honey samples:

• Water content (Moisture):

Determination of moisture content of honey was carried out by measurement its refractive index value (abbe refractometer at 20 °C) from 100%(A.O.A.C 1995).

• Electrical conductivity (E.C):

Based on the method of Vorwhol (1964), using model EN50081-1 at room temperature, weigh tow gm of honey dissolved in ten ml of distilled water. The results are expressed as ppm.

• Total soluble solids (T.S.S)of honey:

The coarse materials within honey sample should be removed before determination the (TSS) therefore; the honey sample was stirred at room temperature and pass through a(0.5mm) sieve, The granulated honey samples were liquid in water bath at (40°C), and then the flask which contained honey was cooled and stirred at room temperature, (A.O.A.C.,1980).

Equipment: Abbe refractometer was used and expressing the (TSS) in honey in percentage.

• Viscosity:

The capillary viscometric measurements were performed using an Ostwald viscometer. The viscometer was initially calibrated using doubled distilled water and 20% sucrose solution calculated amount of distilled water was added to the fresh honey sample to raise percentage water content to 25-50 range. The viscosities of the samples were measured for each of the samples at 15,25,35,45 and 60 °C.

• **Specific gravity:**

The specific gravity (SG) of the samples obtained as the ratio of the weight of sample to that of equal volume of water.

$$SG = \frac{W_{sb} - W_b}{W_{wb} - W_b}$$

Where:

W_b = weight of the pycnometer

W_{sb} = weight of sample + pycnometer

W_{wb} = weight of water + pycnometer

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Some physical properties of Egyptian and Iraqi honey samples:

1. Moisture content:

The Egyptian standard (2005) decreased the maximum level to be 20% for the nectar honey, while the Iraqi standard (1984) had a maximum level 21%.

Data in Tables 1, 2, 3 and 4 and Figures 1 and 2 illustrated that the honey may be divided into three groups : the first groups include eight samples characterized by its distinctive low moisture content 18% or less (from 18% to 16%), which will not fermented in a year according to EL-Ansary (2007).

These samples were A2,(clover honey 18%) from Egypt (Giza) and seven samples from Iraq (D1, D2, D3, D4, D5, D6 and D7). The previous sample have water content values of 16.5, 16.5, 17, 17, 16, 18 and 17.5%, respectively.

The second group includes eight samples accepted from Egyptian and Iraqi standard. These samples have relatively high moisture content ranged between 18.5 to 20% A1, A4, B1, B2, B3 and C1 from Egypt were 18.5, 19, 19, 20, 19.5 and 19%, respectively. and D8 and D9 from Iraq. Were equal (19%). The third group includes only two samples from Egypt (A3) refused by Egypt standard and (C2) refused by Egyptian and Iraqi standard.

The highest moisture percent was recorded 21.5% from Egyptian honey (cotton, C2), while the lowest percent of moisture was 16% from Iraqi honey (citrus, D5).

Statistical analysis of moisture content data for the honey samples are summarized in table (1, 2, 3 and 4), it was found insignificant differences between clover and citrus in Egyptian honey, while found significant differences between cotton and both citrus and clover.

In Iraqi honeys, it was insignificant differences between D1, D2, D3, D4, D5, D6 and D7, while found significant differences between D8 or D9 and other types.

Generally, it is clear that only three samples refused and fifteen samples were accepted from Egyptian and Iraqi honey standard (Tables 1, 2, 3 and 4), and the final results indicated that it was not found significant difference between Egyptian and Iraqi honeys in relation to moisture percentages.

Table 1: Some physical properties of Egyptian honeys.

Honey type	Samples	Moisture (%)	EC * ppm	TSS (%)*	V.* (poise)	S.G *
Clover	A1	18.50	0.019	81.50	48.10	1.41
	A2	18.00	0.008	82.00	69.00	1.42
	A3	21.00	0.011	79.00	13.60	1.39
	A4	19.00	0.010	81.00	48.10	1.41
Mean		19.12	0.012	80.87	44.7	1.41
L.S.D 0.05		0.95	0.001	12.95	5.64	0.02
Citrus	B1	19.00	0.007	81.00	48.10	1.41
	B2	20.00	0.008	80.00	34.90	1.40
	B3	19.50	0.005	80.50	34.90	1.41
Mean		19.5	0.0066	80.50	39.30	1.406
L.S.D0.05		0.92	0.002	0.92	3.14	0.01
Cotton	C1	19.00	0.007	81.00	48.10	1.41
	C2	21.5	0.043	78.50	13.60	1.39
Mean		20.25	0.025	79.75	30.85	1.40
T. test		*	*	*	*	NS
Egyptian stander		20% or less	-	-		1.42 or less
Iraqian stander		21% or less	-	-		1.4 or less

(EC.=Electrical conductivity) (TSS= total soluble solid) (V. = viscosity)
(S.G. = Specific gravity)

Table 2: Some physical properties of Iraqi honeys.

Honey type	Sample	Moisture (%)	EC. * Ppm	TSS* (%)	V.* (Poise)	S.G *
Mountain honey	D1	16.5	0.010	83.5	87.5	1.42
Mountain honey	D2	16.5	0.006	83.5	87.5	1.42
Clover and Eucalyptus	D3	17.0	0.042	83.0	87.5	1.42
Clover, Eucalyptus, Christs thorn and Sun flower	D4	17.0	0.064	83.0	87.5	1.42
Citrus	D5	16.0	0.044	84.0	69.0	1.43
Clover, Eucalyptus and Coriander	D6	18.0	0.018	82.0	48.1	1.42
Clover and Eucalyptus	D7	17.5	0.021	82.05	69.0	1.42
Eucalyptus and Christs thorn	D8	19.0	0.039	81.0	48.1	1.41
Clover, Eucalyptus and Rosaceae	D9	19.0	0.009	81.0	48.1	1.41
Mean		17.4	0.028	82.5	70.3	1.42
L.S.D 0.05		1.5	0.053	1.4	2.82	NS
Egyptian stander		20% or less	-	-	-	1.42 or less
Iraqian stander		21% or less	-	-	-	1.42 or less

(EC.=Electrical conductivity) (TSS.= Total soluble solid) (V.(poise)= viscosity)
(S.G=Specific gravity)

Table 3: Comparison between physical properties of Egyptian honeys.

Honey types	Moisture	EC *	TSS *	V. *	S.G. *
Clover	19.12	0.012	80.87	44.70	1.41
Citrus	19.50	0.0066	80.50	39.30	1.406
Cotton	20.25	0.025	79.75	30.85	1.40
Mean	19.62	0.0145	80.37	38.28	1.405
L.S.D.0.05	0.49	0.010	0.088	1.13	NS
Egyptian stander	20% or less	-	-		1.42 or less
Iraqian stander	21% or less	-	-		1.42 or less

(EC.=Electrical conductivity) (TSS.= Total soluble solid) (V.(poise)= viscosity)
(S.G.=Specific gravity)

2. Total soluble solids and Electrical Conductivity:

The values of total soluble solids (TSS %) in Egyptian and Iraqian honey standard. The highest TSS% in Egyptian honeys was 82% (from clover honey A2) and in Iraqian honeys recorded 83% from citrus D5, while the lowest level of TSS % in Egyptian honeys was 78.5% from cotton honey C2 and in Iraqian honeys recorded from two samples D8 and D9 .

Generally, results indicated that it not found significant differences between Egyptian and Iraqian honeys in relation to total soluble solids (TSS%) (Table 4).

In relation to electrical conductivity (EC:ppm), the results indicated that degree of (EC) ranged in Egyptian honeys (clover between 0.008 to 0.019), (citrus between 0.005 to 0.008) and (cotton between 0.007 to 0.043), while in Iraqian honeys ranged between 0.006 to 0.064.

Generally, it is cleared that low significant differences between Egyptian and Iraqian honeys in relation to Electrical conductivity (EC) (Table 4).

Table 4: Comparison between some physical properties of Egyptian and Iraqian honeys.

Honey type	Moisture	EC * ppm	TSS * (%)	V. (poise) *	S.G. *
Egyptian honey	19.5	0.013	80.5	39.82	1.41
Iraqian honey	17.4	0.028	82.5	70.30	1.42
Mean	18.45	0.02	81.5	55.06	1.415
T. test	NS	*	NS	*	NS
Egyptian stander	20% or less	-	-	-	1.42 or less
Iraqian stander	21% or less	-	-	-	1.42 or less

(EC.=Electrical conductivity) (TSS.= Total soluble solid) (V.(poise)= viscosity)
(S.G.=Specific gravity)

3. Viscosity and Specific gravity:.

Viscosity values ranged between 13.60 to 69 poise in Egyptian honeys, while between 48.1 to 87.5 poise in Iraqi honeys. Therefore viscosity of Iraqi honeys was more than viscosity of Egyptian honeys (Table 1, 2).

The highest viscosity in Egyptian honeys was 69.00 poise (citrus A2) and in Iraqi honeys was 87.5 poise (D1, D2, D3 and D4), while the lowest viscosity was 13.60 in Egyptian honey (cotton C2) and 48.1 poise in Iraqi honeys (D6, D8 and D9).

Generally, results indicated that low significant differences between Egyptian and Iraqi honeys in relation to viscosity. In relation to specific gravity it was not clear differences between values of specific gravity in both Egyptian and Iraqi honey samples. The specific gravity ranged between 1.39 to 1.42 in Egyptian honeys, while between (1.41 to 1.43) (Tables 1, 2) and (Fig 9, 10). It not found significant differences between Egyptian honey (clover, citrus and cotton) and also between Iraqi honeys in all samples (Fig 2, 3).

These results indicated that not found in significant differences between Egyptian and Iraqi honeys (Table 4).

Finally, the results of some physical properties in Egyptian and Iraqi honeys indicated that it was need found significant differences between Egyptian and Iraqi honeys in relation to moisture, total soluble solids (TSS%) and specific gravity, while it was found low significant differences in relation to electrical conductivity (EC) and viscosity, these results may be due to Ecological factors in both country (Egypt and Iraq), such a temperature, relative humidity flora and geographic region and induction to quality of bee race type and beekeeping process.

F1

F3

REFERENCES

- A .O. A. C. (1995) Official methods of analysis. (16thed). Washington, DC:UAS:As. off. Ana. chem.
- A. O. A. C. (1980) Official methods of analysis. Washington, DC: Ass off, Ana. Chem..
- Codex Alimentarius Commission, CAC 1998. Codex Alimentarius Draft revised for honey. CAD CX P 5/102, CI, 1998/12-S, FAO, Rome, Italy.
- Codex Alimentarius Commission Standards, (1981/ revised 1987/ revised 2001) Codex Standards for honey, 1-7, FAO-Rome.
- Crane, E. (1990). Bees and Beekeeping. Scientific, practice and World Resources. First ed., Heinemann Newnes, Halley Court, Jordan Hill, Oxford OX28EJ, London, UK.
- El-Ansary, O. M. (2007) Bee in honey production and crop pollination. Monshaat El Maarif, Alexandria, Egypt (Arabic), 1458pp.
- El-Metwally, A. E. (2015) Factors affecting the Physical and Chemical characteristics of Egyptian bee honey. PH. D. Thesis Fac. Agr. Cairo, Uni. Egypt, 333 pp.
- Serrano, S.; Espejo, R. Villarejo, M.; Jodral, M. L., (2007). Diastase and invertase activities in Andalusian honeys. Int. J. Food Sci. Technol., 42: 76- 79.
- Vorwhol, G. (1964) Die messung der elektrischen leitfähigkeit des honig and die verwendung der messwerte zur sortendignose und zum Nachweis von verfalls chungenmit zuckerfütterung shonig, Bienenforschung., 7: 37-47.
- White, J. W.; Landis, W.D. (1980). Honey composition and properties. Beekeeping in the United states, Agriculture handbook number 335, revised October, pp: 82-91.

"دراسات على بعض الخصائص الطبيعية للعسل المصري والعراقي"
حسن محمد فتحي، سمير صالح عوض الله، ليلى عبد الستار البطران و
محمد حسين عبد المشهداني.**
قسم الحشرات الاقتصادية، كلية الزراعة، جامعة المنصورة.

أجريت هذه الدراسة بقسم الحشرات الاقتصادية - كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنصورة، لتقييم بعض الخصائص الطبيعية (الرطوبة - المواد الصلبة الكلية الذائبة - الاتصالي الكهربائي - اللزوجة - الوزن النوعي) وذلك للأعسال المصرية والعراقية تبعاً لكل من المواصفات القياسية للعسل المصري لسنة 2005 والمواصفات القياسية للعسل العراقي لسنة 1984.

ومن أهم النتائج التي تم الحصول عليها :

1. أعلى محتوى رطوبي سجل من عينة عسل القطن المصري C2 بلغت 21.5% ، بينما أقل محتوى رطوبي سجل من عينة العسل العراقي (موالح D5) وبلغت 16%.
2. بصفة عامة لم توجد فروق معنوية بالنسبة للمحتوى الرطوبي بين الأعسال المصرية والعراقية.
3. أعلى نسبة من المواد الصلبة الكلية الذائبة سجلت في العسل المصري ، عسل البرسيم A2 وبلغت 82% بينما كانت أعلى نسبة من المواد الصلبة الكلية الذائبة في العسل العراقي سجلت في عسل الموالح D5 وبلغت 83%.
4. بالنسبة للاتصال الكهربائي وجدت فروق معنوية منخفضة بين الأعسال المصرية والعراقية من حيث درجات الأتصال الكهربائي.
5. بصفة عامة كانت هناك فروق معنوية منخفضة بين الأعسال المصرية والعراقية من حيث اللزوجة.
6. بالنسبة للوزن النوعي لم توجد فروق معنوية واضحة بين الأعسال المصرية والعراقية حيث تراوحت في الأعسال المصرية بين 1.39 الى 1.42 بينما في الأعسال العراقية كانت بين 1.41 الى 1.43 .